

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20505

31 August 1983

Colonel James W. Dunn
Military Intelligence, U.S. Army
Chief, Histories Division
The Center of Military History
Washington, D.C. 20314

Dear Colonel Dunn:

This is in reply to your letter of 25 July 1983 requesting that CIA review for security portions of Dr. Jeffrey J. Clarke's manuscript Advice and Support: 1965-73.

We have no objection to the publication of the pages which we have reviewed. This approval should not be construed as a blanket approval of the publication of the entire volume, but only those pages which you have selected as of interest to CIA.

Sincerely,

STAT

Chief, Classification Review Division
Office of Information Services
Directorate of Administration

Enclosures

Distribution:

Orig Addressee w/encls
✓ 1 - CRD Liaison w/Army w/encls
1 - CRD Chrono w/ encls
DDA/OIS/CRD/ kgr

STAT



REPLY TO
ATTENTION OF

Histories Division

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
THE CHIEF OF MILITARY HISTORY AND THE CENTER OF
MILITARY HISTORY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20314
July 25, 1983

STAT

Chief, Classification Review Division
Central Intelligence Agency
Washington, DC 20505

Dear Mr. Simonds:


Enclosed are portions of an unedited manuscript, Advice and Support: 1965-73, by Dr. Jeffrey J. Clarke. This volume will be published by the Center of Military History (CMH) as an unclassified official history in the forthcoming US Army in Vietnam series.

Request you review for security the enclosed chapters. Other pertinent portions of the manuscript are being reviewed for security by the Department of Defense, Joint Chiefs of Staff, National Security Council, Defense Intelligence Agency, and the State Department. Upon clearance and subsequent CMH review, rewriting, and editing, the entire manuscript will be forwarded to Army and Defense Department Public Affairs for open publication clearance.

This manuscript is considered unclassified, however, until it is cleared by all appropriate agencies, please store it in an appropriate security container.

Please acknowledge receipt by notifying this office (272-0323/24) or by returning the enclosed DA Form 209 attached. Questions regarding the manuscript's content may be referred to the undersigned or Dr. Clarke (272-0340). It would be appreciated if the review could be accomplished within 30 days of receipt. Please call the above number when the review is completed, and prior to returning manuscript and comments.

Sincerely,



James W. Dunn
Colonel, MI
Chief, Histories Division

Enclosures

Page Denied

Next 26 Page(s) In Document Denied

24 AUG 1987

Date

ROUTING AND TRANSMITTAL SLIP

	Initials	Date
1.		
2.	DIS / CRD	
3.	32d Ames	
4.		
5.		
Action	File	Note and Return
Approval	For Clearance	Per Conversation
As Requested	For Correction	Prepare Reply
Circulate	For Your Information	See Me
Comment	Investigate	Signature
Coordination	Justify	

REMARKS

See notes attached. Notify the Army that approving except from classified CIA documents for declassification at the source does not mean that the source documents are declassified. Request further coordination with DO.

DO NOT use this form as a RECORD of approvals, concurrences, dispositions, clearances, and similar actions

FROM: (Name, org. symbol, Agency/Post)

Room No.—Bldg.

--

Room No.—Bldg.

Phone No.

5041-102

OPTIONAL FORM 41 (Rev. 7-76)

Prescribed by GSA
FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.206

* GPO : 1981 O - 361-529 (148)


STAT

Office of East Asian Analysis
DIRECTORATE OF INTELLIGENCE

DI/IRO

STAT

I READ THE ATTACHED PAGES
FROM THE UNEDITED MANUSCRIPT
BY DR. CLARK AND CAN SEE NO
REASON WHY WE SHOULD PREVENT
THIS BEING PRINTED AS "UNCLASSIFIED".
THE INFORMATION HAS NO PRESENT
VALUE TO US AND REVEALS NO
"SOURCES AND METHODS". I AGREE,
HOWEVER, THE ARMY SHOULD HAVE LISTED
THE CLASSIFICATIONS FOR THE DOCUMENTS
- TWO OF WHICH ARE DDO REPORTS
(PAPER CLIPPED). THIS IS YOUR BATTLE
TO FIGHT NOT OURS BECAUSE WE
WOULD ERASE THE INFORMATION.



STAT

EXD, OEA/DDI
18 Aug 83

ROUTING AND TRANSMITTAL SLIP

Date

18 Aug 83

	Initials	Date
1.		
2. <u>OEA</u>		
3.		
4.		
5.		

Action	File	Note and Return
Approval	For Clearance	Per Conversation
As Requested	For Correction	Prepare Reply
Circulate	For Your Information	See Me
Comment	Investigate	Signature
Coordination	Justify	

REMARKS

Please review the attached for release. I don't appreciate the Army's inference that our review would be less than objective if we knew the class of the source items (as though we couldn't find it if we wanted to).

DO NOT use this form as a RECORD of approvals, concurrences, dispositions, clearances, and similar actions.

FROM: (Name, org. symbol, Agency/Post)

Room No.—Bldg.

5041-102

Line No.

41 (Rev. 7-76)

MEMORANDUM FOR: [REDACTED] IRO/DI

STAT

There's a policy question here. As you can see in the attached manuscript, the CIA documents in the footnotes--mostly DDI and old OCI memos--show no classification. Deliberate on the part of the Army historians, says their Colonel Dunn. He wants us to make an "unbiased" classification judgment on the use of the information in the manuscript, not on what the classification of the document was from which the information was abstracted. The question is: do we want to go along with these ground rules, and make a classification decision on a manuscript without knowing the security "climate" from whence the information came? We can't find the original documents or find any information [REDACTED] that they were ever declassified. How does the DI feel about such an action?

STAT

STAT

10 AUG 1983
Date

MEMORANDUM FOR:

10 Aug. 83

STAT

There's a policy question here. As you can see in the attached manuscript, the CIA documents in the footnotes - mostly DDI and old OCI memos - show no classification. Deliberate on the part of the Army historians, says their Col. Dunn. He wants us to make an "unbiased" classification judgment on the use of the information in the manuscript, not on what the classification of the document was from which the information was abstracted. The question is: do we want to go along with these ground rules, and make a classification decision on a manuscript without knowing the security "climate" from whence the information came? We can't find the original documents or find any information that they were ever declassified. How does the DO feel about such an action (in general, since these are not your documents) ?

STAT
STAT

STAT

(The documents were apparently stuck away in various Army types' "personal files".)

Date

ACTION SHEET

DATE REC'D IN IC 1 August 1983	IC # CRD-723-115-83	
DESCRIPTION OF ATTACHED MATERIAL:		
TYPE OF MATERIAL Manuscript	DATED 25 July 1983	
FROM Department of the Army	TO CRD/OIS/DDA	
SUBJECT Advice and Support: 1965-73, by Dr. Jeffrey J. Clarke		
DELIVERED TO 322 Ames Building	DEADLINE DATE 30 August 1983	FOLLOW-UP DATE None

DIVISION ROUTING

TO	DATE	ACTION REQUIRED	INIT.	COMMENTS
1. [redacted]		Distribute	CLF	1. to 4. The Army History Staff has sent us only those parts of Dr. Clark's manuscript in which a CIA document is the source or one of the sources.
2. [redacted]	9 Aug	Review	CLF	Despite the fact that the subject is Vietnam, none of the documents are in the CBS System - mostly post-'66.
3. [redacted]		Type		The footnotes do not give the classification of the source document, and seem to come from little individual collections, e.g. "Gen. Gard's Papers". Col. Dunn tells me that the lack of classification of the sources is their policy - they want every declassification action to be judged on its own merits, e.g. what it
4. [redacted]		Sign		says substantively, and how this might damage the U.S., not on what the classification of the source is. A different and refreshing policy, but not too popular with places like N.S.A., I presume.
5. [redacted]		Copies/Mail		Even if we tried to trace out the classification of these poorly-described CIA documents, it might be an almost impossible task. [redacted] When [redacted] was OCI/FOIO he kept splendid records of all OCI documents (which these are mostly) that were released. With his retirement, and the breakup of OCI, no one (including [redacted]) seems to know what happened to his system!
6. [redacted]				Having said all the above, and using Col. Dunn's rules, I would say that CIA has no objection to the release of the pages which we reviewed - but are curious about the rest of the document.

INSTRUCTIONS: Under each comment a line should be drawn across sheet and each comment numbered to correspond with the number in the "TO" Column.